

1 **Charles R. Zeh, Esq.**  
2 **Nevada State Bar No. 1739**  
3 **The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq.**  
4 **575 Forest Street, Suite 200**  
5 **Reno, NV 89509**  
6 **Telephone: 775.323.5700**  
7 **Facsimile: 775.786.8183**  
8 **E-mail: crzeh@aol.com**

9 **Stephen S. Kent, Esq.**  
10 **Nevada State Bar No. 1251**  
11 **Kent Law, PLLC**  
12 **201 West Liberty Street, Suite 230**  
13 **Reno, NV 89501**  
14 **Telephone: 775.324.9800**  
15 **Facsimile: 775.324.9803**  
16 **E-Mail: skent@skentlaw.com**

17 *Attorneys for*  
18 *The Housing Authority of the City of Reno*

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 **Joaquin Rocas, Juan Lopez and Judith**  
16 **Lopez on behalf of themselves and all**  
17 **others similarly situated,**

18 **Plaintiffs,**

19 **vs.**

20 **Reno Housing Authority (officially**  
21 **Housing Authority of Reno) and Does 1**  
22 **through 50, inclusive,**

23 **Defendant(s).**

24 **Jaime Villa and Melisa Chavez,**

25 **Plaintiffs,**

26 **vs.**

27 **Reno Housing Authority and Does 1**  
28 **through 50, inclusive,**

**Defendant(s).**

**Lead Case No.: 3:15-cv-00408-RCJ-**  
**WGC**

**Consolidated with: 3:16-cv-00441-RCJ**  
**WGC**

**Stipulation for Extension of Time for**  
**Defendant to File Response to Plaintiffs'**  
**Objection to Defendant's Application**  
**for Payment of Costs**

**(Second Request)**

1           Plaintiffs JOAQUIN ROCES, JUAN LOPEZ, JUDITH LOPEZ, JAIME VILLA and  
2 MELISA CHAVEZ (plaintiffs) and defendant RENO HOUSING AUTHORITY (defendant or  
3 RHA), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that  
4 defendant Housing Authority of the City of Reno may have a second extension up to, and  
5 including, June 1, 2018, as the time within which to file its opposition to the plaintiffs'  
6 objection to RHA's application for the payment of costs, which would otherwise be due on  
7 May 25, 2018. The reason for this stipulation to extend the time for the RHA to file its  
8 response is that the RHA's opposition to the plaintiffs' objection to the RHA's application for  
9 the payment of costs comes due at a time when defendant's counsel have other briefs due for  
10 both of the attorneys for the RHA.

11           Commencing April 28, 2018, Steve Kent was out of the country until May 14, 2018, or  
12 thereabouts. Mr. Zeh has also been out of the country, commencing May 12, 2018, returning  
13 to the office, May 22, 2018. Then, because of other, pre-existing time commitments including  
14 parts of two days in Las Vegas for client business on behalf of the State of Nevada,  
15 preparation for an impending oral argument before the full bench of the Nevada Supreme  
16 Court, a meeting with clients lasting nearly the entire day which included participants who  
17 traveled from Sacramento, and other matters, Mr. Zeh, the RHA's other legal counsel, has  
18 been unable to either meet with co-counsel, Mr. Kent, or engage in the research for the  
19 pleading in opposition to the plaintiffs' objection to an award of costs. The parties stipulate  
20 that good cause exists to support the stipulation for the extension time herein.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 This is the second request for an extension of time regarding this pleading. It is not  
2 made for reasons of delay. If the stipulation is approved, the RHA will have, up to, and  
3 including, June 1, 2018, as the time within which to file its response to the plaintiffs'  
4 objection to the RHA's application for costs.

5 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

6 The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq. Kent Law, PLLC

7 By: /s/Charles R. Zeh, Esq. By: /s/Steve Ken, Esq.

8 *Attorneys for defendant*

*Attorneys for defendant*

9 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

10 Thierman Buck LLP

11 By: /s/Leah L. Jones, Esq.

12 *Attorneys for plaintiffs*

13 **ORDER**

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated this 24 day of May, 2018.

16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 United States District Court Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28